

The κ -Field in VERSF: A Non-Propagating Response Field of the Void

Keith Taylor VERSF Theoretical Physics Programme

Summary for the General Reader

Physicists often picture a *field* as something that travels through space — like ripples spreading across a pond. This paper is about an object in the VERSF framework, called the κ -field, which is often written about using the same language and the same mathematics used for travelling waves. That description is mathematically useful, but taken at face value it can mislead.

In VERSF, space itself is not a pre-existing stage. It is built up out of a more fundamental process: the irreversible commitment of facts, accumulating under the constraint structure of an underlying medium called the Void. Because there is no ready-made space sitting there in advance, there is nowhere for anything to travel *through*. What looks like propagation turns out to be something else — the Void's response reconfiguring, point by point, as facts get committed.

The κ -field, properly understood, is not something that moves. It is the Void's local response to how committed facts are distributed. The wave-like mathematics used for it in other papers — wave equations, propagating modes, memory effects — remains correct as a description at large scales, but it is a description of how allowed patterns of commitment are constrained, not of anything literally travelling through a medium.

This paper's job is to make that distinction explicit. It explains what the κ -field actually is, what it is not, and how the wave-like mathematics used elsewhere in the VERSF programme fits together with a non-travelling underlying object. The technical derivations live in the companion papers; this note exists to make sure they are read correctly.

Abstract

Within the Void Energy–Regulated Space Framework (VERSF), the κ -field governs commitment-capacity dynamics, cosmological evolution, and effective field behaviour. Its ontological and dynamical status is often misread through the lens of conventional field theory — as if κ were an independent degree of freedom propagating on a background spacetime. This reading is incompatible with the foundational commitments of the framework. We show that $\kappa(x,t)$ is a non-propagating response field: a local functional of the committed record density $\rho(x,t)$, encoding the Void's finite-capacity response to entropy loading. Apparent propagation phenomena — including the wave equations, Green's functions, and memory kernels that appear in companion papers — are reinterpreted as effective descriptions of sequential reconfiguration of commitment structure, not transport through a medium. This reading is required for

consistency with emergent spacetime, finite distinguishability, and irreversible commitment, and it aligns the κ -field with the Single-Source Theorem and the broader VERSF architecture.

Table of Contents

- Summary for the General Reader
- Abstract
- - 1. Introduction
 - 1.1 Why this paper exists
 - 1.2 Scope and genre
 - 1.3 Relation to the Single-Source Theorem
- - 2. Ontological Status of the κ -Field
 - 2.1 What the κ -field is not
 - 2.2 What the κ -field is
- - 3. Functional Dependence on ρ
- - 4. Dynamics Without Propagation
 - 4.1 The standard expectation
 - 4.2 The VERSF reinterpretation
 - 4.3 Effective propagation
 - 4.4 Reconciliation with the κ -field wave equation
- - 5. Role of κ in Physical Phenomena
 - 5.1 Gravity and geometry
 - 5.2 Cosmology
 - 5.3 Dynamical regulation
- - 6. Clarifying Misinterpretations
- - 7. Conclusion
- References

1. Introduction

1.1 Why this paper exists

The VERSF programme establishes that all observable physics is ultimately a functional of the committed record density $\rho(x,t)$. Within this architecture, the κ -field appears in multiple contexts: as the object governing commitment-capacity dynamics, as the scalar sector whose spectrum determines the tensor-to-scalar ratio, and as the field whose mass coefficient $\sqrt{4/3}$ is forced by $\text{PGL}(3,2)$ irreducibility acting on the non-uniform subspace V_6 of the $K=7$ closure geometry.

Across these contexts, a recurring misreading appears. Readers trained in conventional field theory encounter the word "field" and import its standard meaning: a dynamical degree of freedom defined on a pre-existing manifold, propagating through that manifold, and evolving under local equations of motion. Under this reading, κ becomes an independent entity moving through the Void, with the Void acting as the medium through which it travels.

This reading is incompatible with VERSF at a foundational level. Spacetime in VERSF is emergent, not pre-given; the Void is a constraint medium, not a carrier medium; and commitment, not transport, is the fundamental dynamical process. A κ -field that propagates through the Void is not a version of VERSF's κ -field — it is an artefact of reading VERSF's vocabulary through the wrong ontology.

1.2 Scope and genre

This is a clarification note. The derivation work supporting the claims below is established in companion papers and is cited rather than reproduced. Specifically, this paper does not derive:

- the specific functional form $F[\rho]$ for which $\kappa = F[\rho]$,
- the κ -field Lagrangian or its equations of motion,
- the mass coefficient $\sqrt{4/3}$ from $\text{PGL}(3,2)$ irreducibility on V_6 ,
- the cosmological predictions derived from the κ -field spectrum, including the tensor-to-scalar ratio $r \approx 0.027\text{--}0.033$,
- the full integration of κ with the entropy/geometry field $s(x)$ and the emergent metric.

Each derivation is established in the papers referenced below. The purpose of this note is to ensure those derivations are read under the correct ontological interpretation of κ . A reader who closes this paper should leave knowing what κ is, what it is not, and where to go for the derivations that depend on reading it correctly. In particular, §4.4 addresses the apparent tension between this reading and the wave-equation formalism used elsewhere in the programme; that tension is resolved, not set aside.

1.3 Relation to the Single-Source Theorem

This clarification is the κ -specific expression of the Single-Source Theorem. The theorem establishes that all observable physics is a functional of $\rho(x,t)$; κ is among the functionals the theorem guarantees. What this paper adds is not an independent result but a statement of what Single-Source means for how κ should be read. The two positions stand together: Single-Source establishes the architecture, and this note clarifies κ 's position within that architecture.

2. Ontological Status of the κ -Field

2.1 What the κ -field is not

The κ -field is not:

- a field defined on a pre-existing manifold,
- a propagating excitation of a background medium,
- an independent dynamical degree of freedom alongside $\rho(x,t)$,
- a carrier of information from one region of the Void to another.

There is no substrate "through which" κ travels. The Void is not a medium in the sense required for propagation — it is the constraint structure that enforces finite capacity, absorbs discarded alternatives, and resists over-concentration of commitment. This is the position established in *The Void Is Not Nothing* [7]: the Void is a constraint medium, not a carrier medium. A propagating field requires a medium with positions and trajectories as primitives; the Void has neither.

2.2 What the κ -field is

The κ -field is the local dynamical expression of the Void's response to the distribution and gradients of committed record density $\rho(x,t)$, under finite-capacity constraint. It is therefore:

- derived, not fundamental,
- local, not transported,
- constrained, not freely evolving.

More structurally: κ is a re-expression of ρ 's dynamical content, not an additional field layered onto ρ . The Single-Source Theorem forbids such layering; κ carries no degrees of freedom beyond those ρ already supplies.

The field-like vocabulary — " κ -field," " $\kappa(x,t)$," "equations for κ " — is retained for mathematical convenience and because the Void's response admits a field-like representation at the appropriate coarse-grained scales. The vocabulary should not be read as importing the ontological commitments of conventional field theory.

3. Functional Dependence on ρ

The Single-Source structure of VERSF requires:

$$\kappa(x,t) = F[\rho]$$

where F is a functional of ρ that may depend on:

- the local density ρ ,
- spatial gradients $\nabla\rho$,
- temporal structure $\partial_t\rho$,
- history-dependent contributions (memory kernels) in regimes where prior commitment constrains present reconfiguration.

The specific functional form of F is derived in companion work on the κ -field Lagrangian and its dynamical structure [2]. The point relevant to this clarification is not the specific form, but the structural fact that κ carries no independent initial data beyond what ρ supplies: once $\rho(x,t)$ is specified, $\kappa(x,t)$ is determined. There is no additional configuration space for κ to live in, no hidden degrees of freedom, no residual freedom unaccounted for by the Single-Source Theorem [1].

This is what distinguishes a response field from an independent field. An independent field has its own initial data, its own phase space, and its own propagating modes that are not fixed by the sources to which it couples. A response field is fully determined by the load it responds to.

The memory-kernel contribution is revisited in §4.4, where its role is reinterpreted in light of the effective-vs-fundamental distinction developed there.

4. Dynamics Without Propagation

4.1 The standard expectation

In conventional physics, dynamics are expressed as fields propagating through spacetime, governed by wave equations and local interactions. Causal influence is carried by travelling entities — excitations, quanta, modes — that move through the background manifold at bounded speeds.

4.2 The VERSF reinterpretation

In VERSF, nothing propagates through the Void. What appears as propagation is the sequential reconfiguration of commitment structure across the substrate — ordered updates to ρ that, at coarse-grained scales, produce the phenomenology associated with propagating fields.

Under this reading, causal influence is not carried by a travelling entity but realised through the ordered reconfiguration of ρ ; κ encodes how those reconfigurations are permitted or suppressed

by the Void's response; and the finite propagation speed c , the Lorentzian causal structure, and the wave-like behaviour of the κ -field spectrum are emergent descriptions of a substrate that is, at bottom, doing reconfiguration rather than transport.

4.3 Effective propagation

At scales large compared to the fundamental commitment scale, the sequential-reconfiguration picture produces effective wave equations, finite propagation speeds, and Lorentzian causal cones. These are the correct effective descriptions at macroscopic scales, and the κ -field's cosmological consequences — including those derived from its spectrum — can be computed using them without contradiction. What the VERSF reading adds is the correct interpretation of what those effective descriptions are descriptions of: not transport through a medium, but ordered reconfiguration under constraint.

This reinterpretation follows from the framework's foundational commitments. Emergent spacetime cannot be the medium through which something propagates, because the medium would need to pre-exist what it supports; and finite distinguishability cannot accommodate an unbounded independent field that adds degrees of freedom beyond what ρ already specifies.

4.4 Reconciliation with the κ -field wave equation

Companion papers in the VERSF programme present κ using the standard formalism of field theory: a Klein–Gordon-type equation of motion, retarded Green's functions, propagating modes, and in some treatments a memory kernel [2, 4]. On its face, this appears to conflict with the claim that κ does not propagate. It does not. The formalism is an effective closure relation on the allowed reconfiguration dynamics of ρ , not evidence of an independently propagating field.

Why this reading is forced, not chosen. If κ were an independent propagating field, the total phase space of the theory would factor as $S = S_\rho \times S_\kappa$ — with κ carrying initial data not fixed by ρ . This contradicts the Single-Source Theorem [1], which requires all admissible observables to close under functionals of ρ alone. The Klein–Gordon equation for κ therefore cannot represent an independent dynamical degree of freedom. It must be a constraint relation on admissible configurations of ρ . The closure-relation reading is not a choice among equivalent interpretations; it is the only reading compatible with Single-Source closure, and any framework retaining Single-Source must adopt it.

Three reinterpretations fix the mapping between the two descriptions:

- **The Klein–Gordon equation for κ is a closure relation.** The wave operator $(\square + m^2)$ acting on κ does not describe a field propagating through spacetime. It expresses the hyperbolic constraint that the allowed reconfiguration patterns of ρ must satisfy at coarse-grained scales. Solutions of $(\square + m^2)\kappa = \text{source}$ are permitted reconfiguration histories of ρ , not trajectories of a travelling entity.
- **The retarded Green's function is a causal-ordering kernel.** It does not describe a signal travelling from source to receiver. It describes the causal ordering under which reconfiguration at one region can constrain reconfiguration at another. The light-cone

structure of the Green's function is the emergent causal structure of ordered commitment, recovered in the appropriate coarse-grained limit.

- **The memory kernel is a history-dependent constraint.** Where the formalism includes a memory kernel coupling present behaviour to prior field configurations, the correct reading is not that a lingering field persists in the Void. It is that the admissible updates to ρ at time t are constrained by the accumulated commitment structure at earlier times. The kernel encodes ρ 's own accumulated commitment history, re-expressed as a constraint on what can still be committed.

Under this mapping, the formalism of the companion papers is preserved in full. Every calculation performed using wave equations, Green's functions, and memory kernels remains valid; what changes is what those objects are understood to be. The mass coefficient $\sqrt{4/3}$ remains forced by PGL(3,2) irreducibility on V_6 [3]; the tensor-to-scalar ratio $r \approx 0.027-0.033$ remains a prediction of the κ -field spectrum [4]; and the Lagrangian formulation remains the correct effective description at the scales where it applies.

This reconciliation is not a retreat from the propagating-field formalism. It is the statement of what that formalism is a formalism *of*: a coarse-grained closure on reconfiguration dynamics, which is what the underlying ontology of VERSF permits such a formalism to be.

5. Role of κ in Physical Phenomena

The clarification above has consequences for how κ should be read in the contexts where it appears. In each case, the derivation is established in companion work; what follows is the interpretive statement.

5.1 Gravity and geometry

κ participates in the Void's response to entropy gradients. ρ defines the commitment load across the substrate; κ encodes the Void's local response to that load; geometry emerges from ρ 's structure, with κ shaping the effective metric. Gravity in VERSF is not mediated by a κ -field propagating through spacetime. It is the Void's structural pushback against gradients in commitment density, with κ as the field-like expression of that pushback. Read through §4.4, the apparent gravitational propagation of κ 's wave modes is the ordered reconfiguration of ρ under the constraint structure that geometry encodes. The derivations are in [5] and [6].

5.2 Cosmology

The κ -field governs large-scale dynamical behaviour: expansion dynamics, perturbation spectra, and the tensor-to-scalar ratio $r \approx 0.027-0.033$. These arise not from κ evolving as an independent inflaton-like field, but from the global constraint structure of commitment-capacity applied locally. The spectral content is established in [4]; this clarification fixes what that content is the spectrum of — the admissible reconfiguration modes of ρ at cosmological scales, not the oscillations of a travelling field.

5.3 Dynamical regulation

κ acts as a regulator of commitment flow, a constraint on how distinguishability accumulates, and a mediator between local density and global structure. Its mass coefficient, derived in [3], is structurally forced by $PGL(3,2)$ irreducibility on V_6 rather than fitted as a free parameter — a feature consistent with reading κ as a structurally-forced response mode rather than a generic scalar field.

6. Clarifying Misinterpretations

The following equivalences must be explicitly rejected:

Misinterpretation	Correct interpretation
κ propagates through the Void	κ encodes the Void's local response
κ is an independent field	κ is a functional of ρ
Dynamics = transport	Dynamics = constrained reconfiguration
Spacetime hosts κ	Spacetime emerges from ρ 's structure, with κ encoding the Void's response
κ has independent initial data	κ 's configuration is fixed once ρ is specified
κ carries information through the Void	Information is carried by the ordered reconfiguration of ρ
The Klein–Gordon equation describes a propagating field	The Klein–Gordon equation is a closure relation on ρ 's reconfiguration
The retarded Green's function is a signal propagator	The Green's function is a causal-ordering kernel on reconfiguration
The memory kernel is a lingering field	The memory kernel is a history-dependent constraint on admissible updates

Each left-hand reading imports a background ontology — a pre-given manifold, a carrier medium, an independent dynamical sector — that VERSF does not contain. Each right-hand reading is the version of the claim compatible with the framework's foundational commitments.

7. Conclusion

The κ -field is not a conventional field. It is the local response function of the Void, expressed in a field-like form for mathematical convenience. Its dynamics are not transport through a medium but structured reconfiguration under constraint. Its configuration is not independent but determined by $\rho(x,t)$ via the Single-Source Theorem. Its field-like appearance at coarse-grained scales — including the wave equations, Green's functions, and memory kernels of the companion papers — is emergent, not fundamental.

This reading is not optional. It is required for consistency with:

- emergent spacetime (which cannot host a field that pre-exists it),
- finite distinguishability (which bounds the independent degrees of freedom a bounded region can sustain),
- irreversible commitment (which makes reconfiguration rather than transport the fundamental dynamical process),
- the Single-Source Theorem (which closes the space of admissible observables to functionals of ρ).

Once properly understood, κ unifies the dynamical description of VERSF without invoking propagation through a substrate, and the formalism of the companion papers — Lagrangian, Klein–Gordon, Green's functions, memory kernels — is preserved as the correct effective description of coarse-grained reconfiguration dynamics. What changes is not the mathematics but its reading: the κ -field brings the framework's dynamical content into line with the single structural principle VERSF is organised around.

Physics is not the motion of things through space, but the structured accumulation of distinguishability under constraint.

References

All references are companion papers within the VERSF Theoretical Physics Programme, available at versf-eos.com. Each establishes a derivation presupposed by this clarification; the inline citations above locate where each is invoked.

[1] Taylor, K. *The Single-Source Theorem: Observables as Functionals of the Committed Record Density*. VERSF Theoretical Physics Programme. — Functional-dependence architecture under which $\kappa = F[\rho]$ is guaranteed.

[2] Taylor, K. *The κ -Field: Introduction, Lagrangian Structure, and Role in the VERSF Programme*. VERSF Theoretical Physics Programme. — The field's introduction and the Lagrangian / equation-of-motion formalism.

[3] Taylor, K. *The κ -Field Mass: Structural Derivation via $PGL(3,2)$ Irreducibility on V_6* . VERSF Theoretical Physics Programme. — Derivation of the $\sqrt[4]{4/3}$ mass coefficient from closure-geometry representation theory.

[4] Taylor, K. *Tensor Perturbations in VERSF and the Tensor-to-Scalar Ratio*. VERSF Theoretical Physics Programme. — The κ -field spectrum and the prediction $r \approx 0.027\text{--}0.033$.

[5] Taylor, K. *Record-Theoretic Emergence of Spacetime Geometry and Gravitational Dynamics*. VERSF Theoretical Physics Programme. — Emergence of geometry from ρ 's structure.

[6] Taylor, K. *Gravity from Fold Density Gradients*. VERSF Theoretical Physics Programme. — Gravity as structural pushback against gradients in commitment density.

[7] Taylor, K. *The Void Is Not Nothing: Ontological Status of the Constraint Medium*. VERSF Theoretical Physics Programme. — The Void as constraint medium rather than carrier medium.

[8] Taylor, K. *Observable Closure Under the VERSF Fold: A Saturation Theorem*. VERSF Theoretical Physics Programme. — The closure result that makes the Single-Source representation exhaustive.